

3-Dimensional Tissue Is Formed From Cancer Cells In Vitro on Gelfoam[®], But Not on MatrigelTM

Yasunori Tome,^{1,2,3} Fuminari Uehara,^{1,2,3} Sumiyuki Mii,^{1,2} Shuya Yano,^{1,2} Lei Zhang,¹* Naotoshi Sugimoto,⁴ Hiroki Maehara,³ Michael Bouvet,² Hiroyuki Tsuchiya,⁵ Fuminori Kanaya,³ and Robert M. Hoffman^{1,2}

¹AntiCancer, Inc., 7917 Ostrow Street, San Diego, California 92111

²Department of Surgery, University of California San Diego, 200 West Arbor Drive, San Diego, California 92103

³Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus,

207 Uehara Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0125, Japan

⁴Department of Physiology, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kanazawa University, 13-1 Takara-machi, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-8641, Japan

⁵Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kanazawa University, 13-1 Takara-machi Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-8641, Japan

ABSTRACT

Cell and tissue culture can be performed on different substrates such as on plastic, in MatrigelTM, and on Gelfoam[®], a sponge matrix. Each of these substrates consists of a very different surface, ranging from hard and inflexible, a gel, and a sponge-matrix, respectively. Folkman and Moscona found that cell shape was tightly coupled to DNA synthesis and cell growth. Therefore, the flexibility of a substrate is important for cells to maintain their optimal shape. Human osteosarcoma cells, stably expressing a fusion protein of α_v integrin and green fluorescent protein (GFP), grew as a simple monolayer without any structure formation on the surface of a plastic dish. When the osteosarcoma cells were cultured within MatrigelTM, the cancer cells formed colonies but no other structures. When the cancer cells were seeded on Gelfoam[®], the cells formed three-dimensional tissue-like structures. The behavior of 143B osteosarcoma cells on Gelfoam[®] in culture is remarkably different from those of these cells in monolayer culture or in MatrigelTM. Tissue-like structures were observed only in Gelfoam[®] culture. The data in this report suggest a flexible structural substrate such as Gelfoam[®] provides a more in vivo-like culture condition than monolayer culture or MatrigelTM and that MatrigelTM does not result in actual three-dimensional culture. J. Cell. Biochem. 115: 1362–1367, 2014. © 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

KEY WORDS: MATRIGELTM; GELFOAM[®]; CULTURE; DIMENSION; HISTOLOGY; α_v INTEGRIN; GREEN FLUORESCENT PROTEIN; OSTEOSARCOMA; REAL-TIME IMAGING; CONFOCAL MICROSCOPY

THE DAWN OF TISSUE CULTURE

The first documented maintenance of tissues out of the body was in 1885 by Roux [Zurlo et al., 1994]. Roux maintained the medullary plate of an embryonic chicken in a warm saline solution for several days. This experiment established the principle that tissues could live outside the body. In 1907, Harrison placed fragments of a tadpole spinal cord in a clot of lymph in a hollow-ground glass slide. Nerve fibers grew out from the explants from the nerve fiber tips [Harrison, 1907]. Carrel transferred (passed) tissue cultures from medium to medium in order to maintain them long term. The culture was then sealed on a hollow slide, put in an incubator, and allowed to grow. In 1923, Carrel introduced the first practical cell culture flask [Carrel, 1923].

THE BEGINNING OF MODERN CELL CULTURE

Earle was among the first to establish cell lines that could grow indefinitely, including the L-cell line [Sanford et al., 1948]. HeLa cells were derived from a human cervical tumor [Gey et al., 1954]. Defined cell culture media were first developed by Earle [Earle, 1962] and Ham [McKeehan et al., 1977]. Eagle developed a medium with

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. This paper is dedicated to the memory of A.R. Moossa, M.D. Grant sponsor: National Cancer Institute; Grant number: CA132971. *Correspondence to: Robert M. Hoffman, Ph.D., AntiCancer, Inc., 7917 Ostrow Street, San Diego, CA 92111. E-mail: all@anticancer.com Manuscript Received: 27 January 2014; Manuscript Accepted: 31 January 2014 Accepted manuscript online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com): 4 February 2014 DOI 10.1002/jcb.24780 • © 2014 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

1362

over 25 ingredients [Eagle, 1955]. These defined media had to be supplemented with serum such as fetal bovine so that cells could proliferate.

SERUM-FREE CELL CULTURE

Growth factors such as nerve growth factor (NGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) were discovered to promote the growth of certain cells in culture. Due to the work of Sato, some cell types can be cultured in completely defined media without serum [Hayashi and Sato, 1976; Sato, 1980, 1981].

HISTOCULTURE

Fragments of tissue as well as cells were cultured on a collagen sponge matrix that was developed by Leighton et al. [1967]. This was the beginning of modern histoculture. Leighton made a number of important early observations on the advantages of histoculture; for example, when C3HBA mouse mammary adenocarcinoma cells were grown in sponge-matrix histoculture, he found that the cells aggregated in a manner similar to that in the original tumor. Distinct structures were formed within the tumors such as lumina and stromal elements, with some of the structures similar to the original tumor.

In the current century, articles have appeared about "the new dimensions" in cell culture [Jacks and Weinberg, 2002; Abbott, 2003] due to the use of a mouse cancer-cell extracellular matrix preparation called "MatrigelTM."

MatrigelTM contains proteins, such as collagen, elastin, and laminin, which may help to organize communication between cells embedded within the matrix.

MatrigelTM [Kleinman et al., 1982, 1986], was first isolated from a murine tumor as a crude protein mixture that was a liquid at 4°C and gelled at 24–37°C. MatrigelTM is composed mainly of laminin-111, collagen IV, heparin sulfate proteoglycan, various growth factors, and additional components [Benton et al., 2011]. In MatrigelTM, cancer cells formed branched and invasive structures while the less malignant cells formed small aggregates. Invasive morphology correlated with the metastatic ability of the cancer cells in vivo [Benton et al., 2011].

Folkman and Moscona [1978] observed that cell shape is critical for DNA synthesis and cell proliferation. It would seem important that a culture substrate should let cells acquire their natural shape. Therefore, we directly compared cancer cell growth on plastic, MatrigelTM, and on Gelfoam[®].

We report here the use of green fluorescent protein (GFP) imaging to visualize and compare the behavior of osteosarcoma cells in monolayer culture, in MatrigelTM culture, and on sponge-matrix Gelfoam[®] culture and observed that each substrate provided a very different result with three-dimensional tissue-like structures formed on Gelfoam[®] and not MatrigelTM or plastic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

CELLS

The 143B osteosarcoma cell line [Uehara et al., 2013] was maintained with RPMI 1640 medium (Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, CA)

containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Omega Scientific, San Diego, CA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37° C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂.

ESTABLISHMENT OF HUMAN OSTEOSARCOMA CELLS EXPRESSING $\alpha_{\rm V}$ INTEGRIN-GFP

The pCMV6-AC-ITGAV-GFP vector, containing a fusion of α_v integrin and GFP, was obtained from OriGene Technologies (Rock-ville, MD). 143B cells were seeded at 1×10^6 per 100-mm dish. At 80% confluency, cultures were transfected with the α_v integrin-GFP fusion vector pCMV-AC-ITGAV-GFP using Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. After transfection, cells stably expressing α_v integrin-GFP were selected with G418 (800 µg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) starting at 24 h after transfection. Stable colonies were selected and maintained in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS and 500 µg/ml G418 (Sigma-Aldrich) [Tome et al., 2013; Uehara et al., 2013].

IMMUNOFLUORESCENCE STAINING TO CO-LOCALIZE ENDOGENOUS α_V INTEGRIN AND α_V INTEGRIN-GFP

Immunofluorescence staining was performed based on the protocol provided by Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). The cells were seeded on two-well glass chamber slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) the day before immunofluorescence staining. The cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. After washing with PBS, the cells were incubated in blocking buffer containing 5% normal goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 for 60 min. Then, the cells were incubated with a 1:250 dilution of a primary antibody (anti-CD51) (Invitrogen) overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS, the cells were stained with a 1:200 dilution of a secondary antibody (Alexa 555, Invitrogen) for 2 h, followed by staining with 4′6′diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Invitrogen) and imaged by confocal laser-scanning microscopy (FV1000, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) [Tome et al., 2013].

MONOLAYER CULTURE

For monolayer culture, 143B cells (1×10^4) stably expressing α_v integrin-GFP were seeded in 35 mm culture dishes [Tome et al., 2013].

MATRIGEL[™] CULTURE

Cell culture plates (35 mm) were coated with 600 μ l MatrigelTM (BD Biosciences) and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. MatrigelTM (5%) was added on top of the cell culture after cells were allowed to spread for 2–3 h. Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ [Benton et al., 2011].

GELFOAM[®] HISTOCULTURE

Sterile Gelfoam[®] sponges (Pharmacia & Upjohn, Kalamazoo, MI), prepared from porcine skin, were cut into 1 cm cubes. The Gelfoam[®] cubes were placed in six-well tissue-culture plates. RPMI 1640 medium was added and Gelfoam[®] was incubated at 37°C in order that the Gelfoam[®] absorbed the medium. 143B cells (1 × 10⁶) expressing α_v integrin-GFP were then seeded on top of the hydrated Gelfoam[®] and incubated for 1 h. Medium was carefully added up to the top of the Gelfoam[®]. Cells were incubated at 3°C in a humidified

incubator with 5% CO_2 [Freeman and Hoffman, 1986; Hoffman, 2010, 2013; Mii et al., 2013a,b].

IMAGING

Cultures were imaged with an Olympus FW 1000 laser-scanning confocal microscope (Olympus) with a XLUMPLFL $20 \times (0.95 \text{ NA})$ water-immersion objective. GFP was excited at 488 nm and Z-slices were acquired every 1 μ m. Images were produced with FV10-ASW Fluoview software (Olympus) and ImageJ and were not modified beyond the standard adjustment of intensity levels [Uchugonova et al., 2011].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

CANCER CELL GROWTH IN MONOLAYER CULTURE

143B cells expressing α_v integrin-GFP have bright fluorescence in the cytoplasm in monolayer culture (Fig. 1). The cells grew as a monolayer without structure.

CANCER CELL GROWTH IN MATRIGEL[™] CULTURE

143B α_v integrin-GFP cells were embedded within MatrigelTM (Fig. 2). Colonies of cells were formed within 24 h after seeding. Colonies were seen by 72 h after seeding, but no three-dimensional tissue-like structures were formed (Fig. 3B).

CANCER CELL GELFOAM[®] HISTOCULTURE

To investigate the behavior of α_v integrin-GFP on a sponge matrix, cancer cells were seeded on Gelfoam[®] (Fig. 3). The cancer cells formed three-dimensional tissue-like structures along the Gelfoam[®] within 72 h.

IMAGE RENDERING

Images of 143B α_v integrin-GFP cells on each of these substrates were rotated over 85° to visualize tissue-like morphology as well as three-dimensional structures (Fig. 4). The 143B α_v integrin cells on plastic were observed to behave as individual cells lying flat on the plastic surface of the culture plate. The 143B α_v integrin-GFP cells in MatrigelTM aggregated but did not appear tissue-like. The 143B α_v integrin-GFP cells on Gelfoam[®] appeared to have a tissue-like structure, which was three-dimensional. The relatively undifferentiated appearance of the tissue-like structure resembles 143B tumors formed in vivo [Luu et al., 2005].

The behavior of 143B osteosarcoma cells in Gelfoam[®] culture is remarkably different from those of the cells in monolayer culture [Cukierman et al., 2001] or in MatrigelTM. Tissue-like 3-dimensional structures were observed only in Gelfoam[®] culture. Gelfoam[®] culture is different than MatrigelTM culture despite the presence of extracellular matrices in MatrigelTM.

Despite announcements by Jacks and Weinberg [2002] and Abbott [2003] about "biology's new dimension" with the use of







Fig. 2. Behavior of 143B cells expressing α_v integrin-GFP in MatrigelTM culture. A: Schematic of culture of 143B cells sandwiched between a MatrigelTM-coated surface and a layer of MatrigelTM. B: Phase contrast (lower row) and fluorescence (upper row) micrographs of live human osteosarcoma cells expressing α_v integrin in MatrigelTM culture. FV1000 confocal fluorescence microscopy. Bar: 20 μ m (left panels). Bar: 30 μ m (middle panels). Bar: 50 μ m (right panels).



Fig. 3. Behavior of 143B cells expressing α_v integrin-GFP on Gelfoam[®]. A: Schematic of 3-D Gelfoam[®] culture of 143B cells. B: Phase contrast and fluorescence merged images of live 143B human osteosarcoma cells expressing α_v integrin in 3-D Gelfoam[®] culture. FV1000 confocal fluorescence microscopy. The cancer cells formed three-dimensional complexes and gland-like structures within the Gelfoam[®] within 72 h (left panel). Bar: 100 μ m. Diffuse expression of α_v integrin-GFP in the cancer cells in the Gelfoam[®] culture (right panel). Bar: 20 μ m.

MatrigelTM, sponge-gel matrix histoculture, of which Gelfoam[®] histoculture is an example, was conceived by Leighton way back in the 1950s [Leighton, 1951], and allows three-dimensional tissue-like structures to form. This report distinguishes MatrigelTM culture, where only colonies of cells form, from Gelfoam[®] sponge-gel matrix culture, where three-dimensional tissue-like structures are formed by cancer cells. The cancer cells are readily visualized by α_v integrin GFP expression.

Gelfoam[®] has also been used to maintain tissue over relatively long periods of time in order to obtain biologically relevant information concerning tumor biology and drug response [Vescio et al., 1987; Li et al., 1992; Furukawa et al., 1995; Kubota et al., 1995]. Additional uses of Gelfoam[®] histoculture include skin and hair growth [Li et al., 1992], immune tissue histoculture and HIV infection [Glushakova et al., 1995], and nerve growth from stem cells [Mii et al., 2013a,b].

The results in this report suggest that further studies be undertaken to determine an optimal general substrate for culture of cancer cells such that they can have as much fidelity as possible to in vivo tumor growth, and that Gelfoam[®] and similar flexible sponge matrix substrates are promising candidates to achieve this goal.



Fig. 4. Image rendering. Phase contrast fluorescence micrographs and rotating images of 143B human osteosarcoma cells expressing α_v integrin on plastic plates (left panels), in MatrigelTM culture (middle panels), in Gelfoam[®] culture (right panels) using the Olympus FV1000. Z-stack in 1 μ m steps. The depths of images are on plastic surface 20 μ m; MatrigelTM 70 μ m; Gelfoam[®] 180 μ m. These images are rotated Z axes from 0° to 80° in 5 sections, using the FV-10 ASW software system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was supported in part by the National Cancer Institute grant CA132971.

REFERENCES

Abbott A. 2003. Cell culture: Biology's new dimension. Nature 424:870-872.

Benton G, Kleinman HK, George J, Arnaoutova I. 2011. Multiple uses of basement membrane-like matrix (BME/MatrigelTM) in vitro and in vivo with cancer cells. Int J Cancer 128:1751–1757.

Carrel A. 1923. A method for the physiological study of tissue in vitro. J Exp Med 38:407–418.

Cukierman E, Pankov R, Stevens DR, Yamada KM. 2001. Taking cell matrix adhesions to the third dimension. Science 294:1708–1712.

Eagle H. 1955. The specific amino acid requirements of a mammalian cell (strain L) in tissue culture. J Biol Chem 214:839–852.

Earle WR. 1962. Some morphologic variations of certain cells under controlled experimental conditions. Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 7:213–236.

Folkman J, Moscona A. 1978. Role of cell shape in growth control. Nature 273:345–349.

Freeman A, Hoffman RM. 1986. In vivo-like growth of human tumors in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83:2694–2698.

Furukawa T, Kubota T, Hoffman RM. 1995. Clinical applications of the histoculture drug response assay. Clin Cancer Res 1:305–311.

Gey GO, Coffman WD, Kubicek MT. 1954. Tissue culture studies on the proliferative capacity of cervical carcinoma and normal epithelium. Cancer Res 12:264–265.

Glushakova S, Baibakov B, Margolis LB, Zimmerberg J. 1995. Infection of human tonsil histocultures: A model for HIV pathogenesis. Nat Med 1:1320–1322.

Harrison RG. 1907. Observations on the living developing nerve fiber. Proc Royal Soc Exp Biol Med 4:140–143.

Hayashi I, Sato GH. 1976. Replacement of serum by hormones permits growth of cells in a defined medium. Nature 259:132–134.

Hoffman RM. 2010. Histocultures and their use. In: Encyclopedia of life sciences. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons Ltd .Published Online. DOI: 10.1002/9780470015902. a0002573. pub2 .

Hoffman RM. 2013. Tissue culture. In: Brenner's Encyclopedia of Genetics. 2nd edition. Elsevier pp 73–76.

Jacks T, Weinberg RA. 2002. Taking the study of cancer cell survival to a new dimension. Cell 111:923–925.

Kleinman HK, McGarvey ML, Liotta LA, Robey PG, Tryggvason K, Martin GR. 1982. Isolation and characterization of type IV procollagen, laminin, and heparan sulfate proteoglycan from the EHS sarcoma. Biochemistry 21:6188– 6193.

Kleinman HK, McGarvey ML, Hassell JR, Star VL, Cannon FB, Laurie GW, Martin GR. 1986. Basement membrane complexes with biological activity. Biochemistry 25:312–318.

Kubota T, Sasano N, Abe O, Nakao I, Kawamura E, Saito T, Endo M, Kimura K, Demura H, Sasano H, Nagura H, Ogawa N, Hoffman RM. 1995. The

chemosensitivity study group for the histoculture drug-response assay. Potential of the histoculture drug response assay to contribute to cancer patient survival. Clin Cancer Res 1:1537–1543.

Leighton J. 1951. A sponge matrix method for tissue culture; formation of organized aggregates of cells in vitro. J Natl Cancer Inst 12:545–561.

Leighton J, Justh G, Esper M, Kronenthal RL. 1967. Collagen coated cellulose sponge: Three-dimensional matrix for tissue culture of Walker tumor 256. Science 155:1259–1261.

Li L, Margolis LB, Paus R, Hoffman RM. 1992. Hair shaft elongation, follicle growth, and spontaneous regression in long-term, gelatin spongesupported histoculture of human scalp skin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 89:8764–8768.

Luu HH, Kang Q, Park JK, Si W, Luo Q, Jiang W, Yin H, Montag AG, Simon MA, Peabody TD, Haydon RC, Rinker-Schaeffer CW, He TC. 2005. An orthotopic model of human osteosarcoma growth and spontaneous pulmonary metastasis. Clin Exp Metastasis 22:319–329.

McKeehan WL, McKeehan KA, Hammond SL, Ham RG. 1977. Improved medium for clonal growth of human diploid fibroblasts at low concentrations of serum protein. In Vitro 13:399–416.

Mii S, Duong J, Tome Y, Uchugonova A, Liu F, Amoh Y, Saito N, Katsuoka K, Hoffman RM. 2013. The role of hair follicle nestin-expressing stem cells during whisker sensory-nerve growth in long-term 3-D culture. J Cell Biochem 114:1674–1684.

Mii S, Uehara F, Yano S, Tran B, Miwa S, Hiroshima Y, Amoh Y, Katsuoka K, Hoffman RM. 2013. Nestin-expressing stem cells promote nerve growth in long-term 3-dimensional Gelfoam[®]-supported histoculture. PLoS ONE 8: e67153.

Sanford KK, Earle WR, Likely GD. 1948. The growth in vitro of single isolated tissue cells. J Natl Cancer Inst 9:229–246.

Sato GH. 1980. Towards an endocrine physiology of human cancers. In: Iacobelli S editor., et al. Hormones and Cancer. New York: Raven Press. pp 281–285.

Sato GH. 1981. Antibodies, hormones and cancer. In: Steinberg C Lefkovits I editor., The Immune System. Basel: S. Karger. pp 379–382.

Tome Y, Sugimoto N, Yano S, Momiyama M, Mii S, Maehara H, Bouvet M, Tsuchiya H, Kanaya F, Hoffman RM. 2013. Real-time imaging of α_v integrin molecular dynamics in osteosarcoma cells in vitro and in vivo. Anticancer Res 33:3021–3025.

Uchugonova A, Duong J, Zhang N, König K, Hoffman RM. 2011. The bulge area is the origin of nestin-expressing pluripotent stem cells of the hair follicle. J Cell Biochem 112:2046–2050.

Uehara F, Tome Y, Yano S, Miwa S, Mii S, Hiroshima Y, Bouvet M, Maehara H, Kanaya F, Hoffman RM. 2013. A color-coded imaging model of the interaction of α_v integrin-GFP expressed in osteosarcoma cells and RFP expressing blood vessels in Gelfoam[®] vascularized in vivo. Anticancer Res 33:1361–1366.

Vescio RA, Redfern CH, Nelson TJ, Ugoretz S, Stern PH, Hoffman RM. 1987. In vivo-like drug responses of human tumors growing in threedimensional, gel-supported, primary culture. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 84:5029–5033.

Zurlo J, Rudacille D, Goldberg AM. 1994. Animals and alternatives in testing: History, science and ethics. NewYork: Mary Ann Liebert, Inc.